

Associations of working pattern during pregnancy with small for gestational age and preterm births



Chorong Kim¹, Se Jin Lee¹, Eun Ju Lee², Myoung-Nam Lim², Sunghun Na¹, Woo Jin Kim², Ko-CHENS study group

¹Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Kangwon National University Hospital, School of Medicine, Kangwon National University, Chuncheon, Republic of Korea, ²Department of Internal Medicine and Environmental Health Center, Kangwon National University, Chuncheon, Republic of Korea

OBJECTIVE

The purpose of this study was to research the associations of shift work and night shift status during pregnancy on small for gestational age (SGA) and preterm births in the Korean population.

METHODS

The Korean CHildren's ENvironmental health Study (Ko-CHENS) is a nationwide prospective birth cohort for the children's environmental diseases by the Ministry of Environment and the National Institute of Environmental Research. This study included pregnant women recruited from 2015 to 2020 for Ko-CHENS Core Cohorts, and 4,944 out of a total of 5,213 pregnant women were selected as final subjects. A logistic regression model was used to identify risk factors affecting small for gestational age (SGA) births, preterm births and low birth weight infants, and odds ratio was adjusted. It was confirmed by the calculated odds ratio. As adjusted variables, age, sex of infant, maternal education, body mass index (BMI), smoking status, drinking alcohol, number of previous births, gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM), pre-eclampsia, and abortion history were used.

RESULTS

There were no statistically significant differences between birth outcomes and maternal working pattern. There were no significant differences in adjusted odds ratios (aORs) of SGA and preterm births compared to the non-workers group (Group A), workers without shift work group (Group B), and shift workers group (Group C). However, there was significant difference in aORs of SGA compared to non-workers, day workers, shift workers and night shift workers. (Non-workers vs. day workers vs. shift workers vs. night shift workers, aORs[95% CI]: 1.000 vs. 1.061[0.871-1.293] vs. 0.996[0.677-1.466] vs. 2.437[1.103-5.382]).

Variablas		Working pattern		
variables	Non-workers	Worker without shift work	Shift worker	
SGA	1.000 (Reference)	1.065 (0.874-1.297)	1.129 (0.791-1.612)	
Preterm birth	1.000 (Reference)	0.785 (0.596-1.035)	0.557 (0.293-1.058)	
Table 2. Adjusted odds	ratios (aORs) for the association	n between small for gestational age (S	GA) and maternal work	
Table 2. Adjusted odds Variab	ratios (aORs) for the association	n between small for gestational age (So SGA	GA) and maternal work	
Fable 2. Adjusted odds Variab	ratios (aORs) for the association lesM	n between small for gestational age (So SGA odel1 OR (95% CI)	GA) and maternal work Model2 OR (95% CI)	
Table 2. Adjusted oddsVariabVariabMaternal working state	ratios (aORs) for the association lesM us	n between small for gestational age (So SGA odel1 OR (95% CI)	GA) and maternal work Model2 OR (95% CI)	
Table 2. Adjusted odds Variab Variab Maternal working state Non-worker	ratios (aORs) for the association lesM us	n between small for gestational age (So SGA odel1 OR (95% CI) 1.000 (Reference)	GA) and maternal work Model2 OR (95% CI) 1.000(Reference)	
Table 2. Adjusted odds Variab Variab Maternal working state Non-worker worker	ratios (aORs) for the association les	n between small for gestational age (So SGA odel1 OR (95% CI) 1.000 (Reference) .074 (0.885-1.304)	GA) and maternal work Model2 OR (95% CI) 1.000(Reference) 1.072(0.883-1.301)	
Table 2. Adjusted odds Variab Variab Maternal working state Non-worker worker Working pattern	ratios (aORs) for the association lesM us	n between small for gestational age (Se SGA odel1 OR (95% CI) 1.000 (Reference) .074 (0.885-1.304)	GA) and maternal work Model2 OR (95% CI) 1.000(Reference) 1.072(0.883-1.301)	
Table 2. Adjusted odds Variab Variab Variab Maternal working state Non-worker Working pattern Non-worker	ratios (aORs) for the association lesM us	n between small for gestational age (So SGA odel1 OR (95% CI) 1.000 (Reference) .074 (0.885-1.304) 1.000(Reference)	GA) and maternal work Model2 OR (95% CI) 1.000(Reference) 1.072(0.883-1.301) 1.000(Reference)	
Table 2. Adjusted odds Variab Vorking state Vorking pattern Von-worker Von-worker Day work	ratios (aORs) for the association lesM us	n between small for gestational age (Second SGA SGA odel1 OR (95% CI) 1.000 (Reference) .074 (0.885-1.304) 1.000(Reference) 1.064(0.874-1.296)	GA) and maternal work Model2 OR (95% CI) 1.000(Reference) 1.072(0.883-1.301) 1.000(Reference) 1.061(0.871-1.293)	
Fable 2. Adjusted odds Variab Variab Maternal working state Non-worker Working pattern Non-worker Day work Shift work	ratios (aORs) for the association les M us	n between small for gestational age (Second SGA odel1 OR (95% CI) 1.000 (Reference) .074 (0.885-1.304) 1.000(Reference) 1.064(0.874-1.296) 1.002(0.681-1.474)	GA) and maternal work Model2 OR (95% CI) 1.000(Reference) 1.072(0.883-1.301) 1.000(Reference) 1.061(0.871-1.293) 0.996(0.677-1.466)	

* SGA, Small for gestational age

* Model1 : Adjusted Job, working pattern, maternal age, infant sex, education, BMI, smoking, drinking, parity, gestational diabetes, pre-eclampsia, abortion, preterm birth * Model2 : Adjusted Job, working pattern, maternal age, infant sex, education, BMI, smoking, drinking, parity, gestational diabetes, pre-eclampsia, abortion, preterm birth

CONCLUSION

Working during pregnancy did not increase the risk of SGA and preterm births, and night shift working did not increase the risk of preterm births. However, night shift working associated increasing the risk of SGA.